Criminal Justice:
Restrictions on Drag Performances

No updates required since June 28, 2023

What's in this document (click to jump to that section)

Background ................................................................................................................................. 2
Equality Map ............................................................................................................................... 2
Summary Table ........................................................................................................................... 2
Chronology .................................................................................................................................. 3
State-by-State Sources and More Detail ..................................................................................... 3


To donate to support MAP’s work tracking these policies, click here: https://mapresearch.org/donate
Background

Drag is an art form dating back centuries, and it is also a vital part of LGBTQ culture and history. While U.S. state laws restricting gender-based dress also date back to at least the 1800s, 2023 brought a resurgence of efforts to limit free speech and expression in the form of drag performances. These attacks are part of a much broader and coordinated effort attacking gender expression, transgender people, and the LGBTQ community more broadly. For more, read MAP’s ongoing series Under Fire: The War on LGBTQ People in America.

Equality Map

See our Equality Map: Restrictions on Drag Performances, which is updated and maintained in real time alongside this document.

Summary Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>States</th>
<th>Lawsuits and/or Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>States that explicitly restrict drag performances</strong> (2 states)</td>
<td>Montana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tennessee*</td>
<td>Friends of George’s v. Mulroy (March 2023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Ruled unconstitutional in June 2023, though state may appeal this decision.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>States that have laws about “adult” or “sexual” performances that could be used to target drag</strong> (4 states)</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Florida*</td>
<td>HM Florida-Orlando v. Florida (May 2023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Temporarily blocked in June 2023, though state may appeal this decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No state law explicitly restricting drag performances or about “adult” or “sexual” performances that could be used to target drag</strong> (44 states, D.C., and 5 territories)</td>
<td>All others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chronology

Order of Laws
(by date of governor signature or veto override; not by effective date)

Laws Explicitly Restricting Drag

1. Tennessee – SB3/HB9 – March 2, 2023 (effective April 1, 2023; currently blocked by court order)

Laws That May Be Used to Target Drag, But Do Not Explicitly Restrict Drag

1. Arkansas – SB43 – February 24, 2023 (effective 90 days after legislature adjourns)
2. North Dakota – HB1333 – April 21, 2023 (effective August 1, 2023)
3. Florida – S1438 – May 17, 2023 (effective immediately; currently blocked by court order)
4. Texas – SB12 – June 18, 2023 (effective September 1, 2023)

State-by-State Sources and More Detail

Alabama
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Alaska
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Arizona
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Arkansas
- State has law about “adult” or “sexual” businesses or performances that could be used to target or restrict drag performances
- See SB43 (2023)

California
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Colorado
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Connecticut
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances
Delaware
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

District of Columbia
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Florida
- State has law about “adult” or “sexual” businesses or performances that could be used to target or restrict drag performances
- See S1438 (2023)
  - In June 2023, a federal judge temporarily blocked enforcement of this law, ruling it likely unconstitutional. However, the state is appealing this ruling. This map and citation sheet will be updated as this case progresses. See HM Florida-Orlando v. Florida (filed May 2023).

Georgia
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Hawai`i
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Idaho
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Illinois
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Indiana
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Iowa
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Kansas
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Kentucky
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Louisiana
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Maine
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances
Maryland
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Massachusetts
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Michigan
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Minnesota
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Mississippi
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Missouri
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Montana
  • State law explicitly restricts drag performances
  • See HB359 (2023) explicitly restricting drag, and see also HB234 (2023) indirectly targeting drag and other LGBTQ content via obscenity law

Nebraska
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Nevada
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

New Hampshire
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

New Jersey
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

New Mexico
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

New York
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

North Carolina
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances
North Dakota
- State has law about “adult” or “sexual” businesses or performances that could be used to target or restrict drag performances
  - See HB1333 (2023)
    - Law also preempts local ordinances that would conflict with this law

Ohio
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Oklahoma
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Oregon
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Pennsylvania
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Rhode Island
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

South Carolina
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

South Dakota
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Tennessee
- State law explicitly restricts drag performances
  - See SB3/HB9 (2023)
    - This law is currently unenforceable due to a federal court order issued March 31, 2023, in *Friends of George’s Inc. v. Mulroy*. A subsequent ruling, issued June 2, 2023, found the law *unconstitutional*. However, the state may yet appeal this ruling. This map and citation sheet will be updated as this case progresses.

Texas
- State has law about “adult” or “sexual” businesses or performances that could be used to target or restrict drag performances
  - See SB12 (2023)

Utah
- State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances
Vermont
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Virginia
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Washington
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

West Virginia
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Wisconsin
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Wyoming
  • State law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

**U.S. Territories**

American Samoa
  • Territory law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Guam
  • Territory law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Northern Mariana Islands
  • Territory law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

Puerto Rico
  • Territory law does not explicitly restrict drag performances

U.S. Virgin Islands
  • Territory law does not explicitly restrict drag performances